RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effect of *Lactobacillus reuteri* Administration on Wrinkle Formation and Type I Procollagen Levels in UVB-Exposed Male Balb/c Mice (*Mus musculus*)
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**Background:** Chronic Ultraviolet B (UVB) exposure causes oxidative stress that may induce damages to the collagen matrix and thus plays a role in the wrinkle formation. *Lactobacillus reuteri* is a probiotic that may exert antioxidant effects, thus helping to reduce damages caused by UVB-induced oxidative stress in the skin.

**Materials and Methods:** Twenty-eight male Balb/c mice were divided equally into control group, UVB radiation only group, oral *L. reuteri* supplementation only group, and UVB radiation with oral *L. reuteri* supplementation group. UVB irradiation was given 3 times a week (100 seconds/exposure, within 3 cm distance) for 10 weeks, with a total dose of 166 mJ/cm². Oral *L. reuteri* supplementation (0.2 mL, 10⁸ CFU) was provided every morning after meal via orogastric feeding tube for 10 weeks. Wrinkle formation on the dorsal skin of the mice was evaluated in accordance with the Bissett method and type I procollagen levels was evaluated by western blotting.

**Results:** In comparison with the group receiving only UVB irradiation, the group receiving probiotic and UVB irradiation showed significantly lower wrinkle scores (Group 1 vs. Group 3, 2.50±0.55 vs. 1.00±0.00; *p* < 0.05) and significantly higher type I procollagen levels (Group 1 vs. Group 3, 0.88±0.36 vs. 1.92±0.46; *p* < 0.05).

**Conclusion:** Results of the current study showed that *L. reuteri* supplementation may reduce wrinkle formation and increase type I procollagen production in UVB-exposed dorsal skin of male Balb/c mice.
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**Introduction**

Aging is a process where the structural integrity and physiological function of every organ in the human body will be lost over time.¹² Skin is one of the main and most visible indicator of age in the human body.¹ Cumulative intrinsic and extrinsic factors causes progressive changes in the structure and physiology of all skin layers, which
in return will change the external appearance of the skin, especially in areas with more sun exposure.4,5

Ultraviolet (UV) B irradiation is one of the main external factors that influences extrinsic skin aging.6 The connective tissues structure in dermis are mostly made of collagen fibers, and chronic UV exposure will induce oxidative stress that play a role in damaging this extracellular collagen matrix. These damages to the skin architecture will manifest as rough, dull skin, and wrinkles.7

Activator protein-1 (AP-1) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) are known to regulate the collagen production by fibroblast.8 UV irradiation will increase intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. ROS will then induce the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling system to activate the transcription factor nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) and AP-1. AP-1 may reduce the synthesis of procollagen type III and I by inhibiting the TGF-β signaling. Meanwhile, activation of transcription factor AP-1 and NF-κB also upregulates the expression of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP). MMP in the dermal extracellular matrix plays a role in increasing collagen and elastin degradation.9-11

In addition to increasing matrix degradation enzyme productions, chronic UV exposure may also reduce the expression of collagen precursor (procollagen) type III and I in photoaged skin, thus disrupting new collagen formation. Reduced procollagen expression may be caused by an irreversible UV-induced impairment in the mechanisms regulating the synthesis and degradation of collagen in dermal fibroblast. Damaged extracellular matrix may also send a signal to inhibit procollagen synthesis by the fibroblast.7

Recent studies found that probiotic supplementation can be useful to protect the skin from UV-induced damage. Lactobacilli species produces exopolysaccharides (EPS) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) that may confer a protection from peroxide free radicals. The antioxidant effect of probiotic may help to restore the balance between the production of free radicals and antioxidants. The restored normal redox balance in the skin is expected to slow the photoaging process.12

An in vitro study found that Lactobacillus reuteri has the ability to scavenge free radicals, and may also help increase antioxidant enzymes production.13 L. reuteri supplementation in diabetic mice was found to increase the antioxidant capacity.14 Studies also found that L. reuteri supplementation may inhibit hepatic15, human myeloid-leukemia derived cells16, and human monocytes and macrophages MAPK/NF-xB signaling mechanism, thus reducing the activity of transcription factor NF-xB and AP-1.17 Mice supplemented with oral L. reuteri also showed increased sebocytogenesis, dermal thickening and folliculogenesis manifested as brighter skin and thicker hair growth.18,19

Consequently, the researcher is interested in studying the photoprotective effect of L. reuteri supplementation by evaluating wrinkle formations and changes in type I procollagen levels in UVB-exposed dorsal skin of male Balb/c mice.

Materials and methods

Experimental Animals

The experimental protocol was reviewed and approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjajaran University (No. 86/UN6. KEP/EC/2019). Twenty-eight male Balb/c mice (4-6 week old) were purchased from the animal laboratory of PT. Biofarma (Bandung, Indonesia). Plastic cages with grated stainless steel cover were used to house the mice. The room temperature was kept at 22-25°C with a 12-h light/dark cycle. The mice were provided with drinking water and standard chow diet ad libitum during the experimental period.

The experimental mice were randomly divided into four groups (7 mice in each group) after a period of adaptation. The groups were: the control group, the mice was treated without probiotic or UVB radiation as a standard against which to measure difference among experimental (Group 0); the group that was given UVB radiation only (Group 1); the group that was given 108 CFU oral L. reuteri supplementation only (Group 2); and the group that was given UVB radiation with 108 CFU oral L. reuteri supplementation (Group 3). All mice were then shaved to clear the dorsal skin from any hair.

The inclusion criteria were 4-6 week old male Balb/c mice with body weight between 20-30 grams, and normal behavior/activities. The exclusion criteria were mice who refused to eat the standard chow diet during the experimental period, and mice with extreme weight loss, perished, or had skin infection during the course of treatment. At the end of the study period, 4 mice were excluded (1 from each group, 2 due to skin infections and 2 due to abnormal body weight), leaving only 24 for further analysis.
**UV Radiation**

Previously described methods was followed to perform the UVB irradiation.\(^{20-22}\) Mice from Group 1 and Group 3 were exposed to UVB light (311 nm, Kernel KN-4003, 0.07 mW/cm\(^2\), Xuzhou, China) 3 times a week (100 seconds/exposure, within 3 cm distance) for 10 weeks, with a total dose of 166 mJ/cm\(^2\). The animals were irradiated within their cage.

**Oral L. reuteri Supplementation**

Oral *L. reuteri* supplementation was provided for all mice in Group 2 and Group 3 groups. Liquid probiotic (0.2 mL, 10\(^8\) CFU) was provided every morning after meal via orogastric feeding tube for 10 weeks.

**Evaluation of Wrinkles Formation**

Wrinkle formation was evaluated in accordance with the method of Bissett et al.\(^{23}\) The dorsal skin of the mice were photographed after 10 weeks. The wrinkles were then evaluated with respect to their size and depths. Grade 0 indicated dynamic fine lines, grade 1 indicated dynamic shallow coarse wrinkles, grade 2 indicated permanent deep coarse wrinkles, and grade 3 indicated permanent deeper coarse wrinkles.

**Western Blotting**

As much as 10\% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was used to separate the proteins prepared from mice skin tissues for 1.5 hours. The proteins were then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane for 30 minutes at 200 mA. Membrane was then incubated overnight at 4°C using the anti-procollagen I (Catalogue #PA5-29569, Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and anti-β-actin antibody (Catalogue #MA5-15739, Thermo Scientific) as primary antibody. The membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Catalogue #31460, Thermo Scientific) as secondary antibody in bovine serum albumin 0.10% solution for 90 minutes at room temperature after being washed using PBS 0.1% as washing buffer. Chemiluminescence substrate was then used to incubate the membrane blots for 5 minutes. Digital image-J software was used to analyze the results of membrane detection.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). The difference in Bissett scores between all the study groups was analyzed using Mann-Whitney test. The differences in procollagen I levels between all the study groups was analyzed using one-way ANOVA. A post-hoc test of the variance and significance levels were then performed. Results were reported as mean±SD. A *p*-value<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Twenty-eight mice were randomly divided into 4 groups. Four mice were excluded by the end of the study period (1 from each group, 2 due to skin infections and 2 due to abnormal body weight), leaving only 24 for further analysis. Data from the 4 mice was considered as outliers, thus they were excluded to avoid statistical bias. Figure 1 showed the shaved dorsal skin of mice from each group at the end of the study.

![Figure 1. Shaved dorsal skin of mice from group 0, 1, 2 and 3 at the end of study. The arrow reflected to the wrinkle appearance.](image-url)
Wrinkle Scores
Mice in Group 0 and Group 2 showed mean wrinkle scores of 0.00±0.00. Meanwhile, Group 1 showed mean wrinkle scores of 2.50±0.55 and Group 3 showed mean wrinkle scores of 1.00±0.00 (Table 1). Group 1 showed the highest mean wrinkle scores, whereas Group 0 and Group 2 both showed the lowest mean wrinkle scores (Figure 2A).

Statistical analysis found that the group receiving UVB irradiation and probiotic supplementation showed significantly lower wrinkle scores in comparison with the group receiving only UVB irradiation (Group 3 vs. Group 1, 1.00±0.00 vs. 2.50±0.55; p<0.05), control group and the group receiving UVB irradiation with probiotic supplementation (Group 0 vs. Group 3, 0.00±0.00 vs. 1.00±0.00; p<0.05), the group receiving only UVB irradiation and the group receiving only probiotic (Group 1 vs. Group 2, 2.50±0.55 vs. 0.00±0.00; p<0.05), and between the group receiving only probiotic and the group receiving UVB irradiation with probiotic supplementation (Group 2 vs. Group 3, 0.00±0.00 vs. 1.00±0.00; p<0.05) also showed significant differences (Table 2).

Type 1 Procollagen Levels
Mean type 1 procollagen levels of the mice was 1.73±0.59 in Group 0, 0.88±0.36 in the Group 1, 1.24±0.60 in the Group Mean±SD Median (min–max) p -value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wrinkle score (Bissett)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Median (min–max)</th>
<th>p -value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50±0.55</td>
<td>2.5 (2–3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>1 (1–1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type 1 procollagen</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Median (min–max)</th>
<th>p -value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.73±0.59</td>
<td>1.62 (1.13–2.47)</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.88±0.36</td>
<td>0.71 (0.59–1.39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.24±0.60</td>
<td>1.07 (0.78–2.38)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.92±0.46</td>
<td>1.76 (1.49–2.57)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kruskal-Wallis test, significant when p<0.05. **One-way ANOVA, significant when p<0.05.

Figure 2. Boxplot graph of Bissett wrinkle scores (A) and type 1 procollagen levels (B) in all treatment groups.
Table 2. Comparison of wrinkle scores between treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Wrinkle Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mann-Whitney test, significant when \( p < 0.05 \).

Table 3. Comparison of type 1 procollagen levels between treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Type 1 Procollagen Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.009* 0.113 0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.229 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Post hoc LSD, significant when \( p < 0.05 \).

Group 2, and 1.92±0.46 in the Group 3 (Table 1). The highest mean type 1 procollagen level was reported from the Group 3, whereas the lowest was reported from the Group 1 (Figure 2B).

Statistical analysis found that the group receiving UVB irradiation and probiotic supplementation showed significantly higher type 1 procollagen level in comparison with the group receiving only UVB irradiation (Group 3 vs. Group 1, 1.92±0.46 vs. 0.88±0.36; \( p < 0.05 \)). Analysis also found significant differences in type 1 procollagen level between the control group and the group receiving only UVB irradiation (Group 0 vs. Group 1, 1.73±0.59 vs. 0.88±0.36; \( p < 0.05 \)), and between the group receiving only probiotic supplementation and the group receiving UVB irradiation with probiotic supplementation (Group 2 vs. Group 3, 1.24±0.60 vs. 1.92±0.46; \( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 3). Meanwhile, Figure 3 showed the result of western blot for type 1 procollagen and the quantification of ratio that is normalized by \( \beta \)-Actin.

Discussion

UVB irradiation is one of the main factor that induces wrinkle formation on human skin. Probiotic is a biological treatment that may help protecting the skin from the effects of photoaging. In the current study, *L. reuteri* supplementation was provided to UVB-irradiated mice to prove the efficacy of probiotic in protecting the skin from the effect of photaging, specifically to increase collagen production and reduce wrinkle formation.

At the end of the study period, all mice from the control group and the group that received no UVB irradiation showed no wrinkle formation. Meanwhile, all mice from the groups that received UVB irradiation showed various degrees of wrinkle formations, where mice from the group receiving only UVB irradiation showed significantly higher wrinkle scores in comparison with the group receiving UVB irradiation with probiotic supplementation. This supported the results of previous studies where UVB irradiation was found to play a role in inducing wrinkle formation. Chronic UV irradiation may cause changes in extracellular matrix (ECM), such as reduced procollagen expression, increased collagen damage. Such changes may reduce skin elasticity and disrupt the structural scaffolding of the skin, thus causing wrinkle formation.

The current study has established that probiotic supplementation may help protecting the skin from UVB-induced wrinkle formation. Probiotic was a novel method...
that may help to prevent or slow the photoaging process, and also to reduce protodamage-related skin changes by restoring the balance between the production of free radicals and antioxidants in human skin. Probiotics have been shown to produce a number of bioactive molecules that work as antioxidants through a number of different mechanisms, such as the production of EPS and SOD. Previous study found that *L. acidophilus* supplementation may effectively inhibit UVB-induced wrinkle formation by downregulating MMP production, thus reducing collagen degradation in the skin. Meanwhile, another study found that oral *L. plantarum* supplementation in UVB-exposed hairless mice may reduce the amount and depth of wrinkles in comparison with the control group. Oral intakes of *L. plantarum* HY7714 in human subjects also showed beneficial effects such as increasing skin moisture, decreasing the depth of existing wrinkles and improving the overall skin gloss and elasticity, while oral intakes of *L. reuteri* were reported to increase melanin and decrease Trans-Epidermal Water Loss (TEWL) in the facial skin of Korean women.

Among all treatment groups, the group receiving only UVB irradiation showed the lowest mean type 1 procollagen level, and the statistical analysis found a significant correlation in type 1 procollagen level between the control group and the group receiving only UVB radiation. This results confirmed the effect of UVB exposure in inducing the downregulation of type 1 procollagen production in the skin, similar to the results obtained in previous studies. Studies found that UV radiation may cause an irreversible damage to the cellular and molecular mechanism regulating collagen synthesis and degradation. UV radiation may deregulate the procollagen synthesis in human fibroblast by downregulating TGF-β type II (TβRII) transcription and disrupting the TGF-β/Smad signaling. UV radiation may also cause the downregulation of type 1 procollagen production by upregulating the production of intracellular free radical ROS, such as superoxide anion, hydroxyl radical, singlet oxygen, and hydrogen peroxide. These oxidants will induce the MAPK signaling to activate the transcription factors AP-1 and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB). MMP production was upregulated by this activation of AP-1 and NF-κB. MMP will then increase the degradation of collagen and elastin in the dermal ECM. AP-1 also played a role in inhibiting TGF-β signaling in photoaged skin. This inhibition will down regulate the production of type 1 collagen precursor (procollagen), thus reducing the formation of new collagens.

Meanwhile, in comparison with the group receiving only UVB irradiation, the groups receiving probiotic and UVB irradiation showed significantly higher mean type 1 procollagen level. This confirmed that probiotic supplementation might confer protection from the effects of photoaging and induce the upregulation of type 1 procollagen synthesis in UVB-exposed skin. Previous study also found similar results, where MMP-1 expression was inhibited and procollagen expression in human fibroblast was upregulated by *L. plantarum* supplementation. Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) from probiotic *L. plantarum* was found to have the ability to reduce the expression of MMP-1, inhibit the activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), inhibit the DNA binding activities of AP-1 and NF-κB, thus reducing the generation of ROS induced by UV irradiation and promote type 1 procollagen synthesis.

The current study also found that the group receiving only probiotic showed significantly lower mean type 1 procollagen level in comparison with the group receiving UVB irradiation with probiotic supplementation. This result indicated a possibility that probiotic bacteria may not confer significant antioxidant effects on skin with normal redox balance where the endogenous antioxidant system still work properly, and that it may only started to confer significant protective effects on skin that has been subjected to external insults, such as UVB irradiation, causing an increase in free radical production and an imbalance in skin redox environment. The group receiving only probiotic also showed lower mean type 1 procollagen level in comparison with the control group, albeit with no statistical significance. This difference might be caused by physiological variations in type 1 procollagen production that may be influenced by age, weight and diet of respective mice.

The current study found no adverse effects from probiotic supplementation in all experimental animals. This study is subject to certain limitations. The wrinkle scores was evaluated subjectively based on the assessment of the researcher without using objective measuring instruments or programs that has been validated to evaluate wrinkle scores objectively, such as SWIRL or PRIMOS program capable of objectively quantifying the microstructural geometric condition of the wrinkles. Furthermore, to reduce the variability of the results obtained, part of the skin taken for biopsy should also be predetermined and marked at the place with most UVB exposure for all mice, but the current study has failed to do so.
Conclusion

The current study found that *L. reuteri* supplementation may reduce wrinkle formation and increase type I procollagen production in UVB-exposed dorsal skin of male Balb/c mice. However, the long-term effects of *L. reuteri* supplementation on human skin and the efficacy of other probiotic species in comparison with *L. reuteri* warrants further evaluation. Future studies should also utilize more objective methods to evaluate wrinkle formation.
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